27

28

////

////

1

2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 7 SCOTT JOHNSON, Case No. 18-cv-05337-SVK 8 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING JOINT 9 v. STIPULATION TO EXTEND MEDIATION DEADLINE 10 RAYMOND V. CASTELLO, et al., Re: Dkt. No. 21 11 Defendants. 12 On January 21, 2020, the parties filed a joint stipulation to extend the mediation deadline 13 from September 24, 2019 to March 4, 2020. Dkt. 21. The parties represent that they were 14 unavailable to conduct the mediation by the September 24, 2019 deadline due to scheduling 15 conflicts. Dkt. 21 at 2. The parties also represent that they have set a mediation hearing for March 16 4, 2020 and request that the mediation deadline be extended to and include that date. *Id.* 17 The docket reflects that the mediation was set on two prior occasions – first on December 18 19, 2019 and then on January 29, 2020. These entries note that the hearings are "pending Court 19 approval of an anticipated stipulation to extend the mediation deadline," which apparently neither 20 party found necessary to file. The Court has admonished Plaintiff's counsel on numerous 21 occasions in other matters that after-the-fact requests for extensions, even if needed to 22 accommodate the mediator's schedule, are not acceptable. Requests for extension must be filed 23 within 30 days of the original deadline. 24 //// 25 //// 26 ////

## United States District Court Northern District of California

With this admonishment and the parties' failure to follow the Court's instructions set forth
in the docket regarding the prior extensions in mind, the parties' request for an extension to the
mediation deadline is <b>GRANTED</b> this one time only. <b>There will be no further extensions of the</b>
mediation deadline to accommodate the parties' schedules. An extension to accommodate the
mediator's schedule will be granted only upon a showing of good cause.
SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 21, 2020

Susan Var Keulen
United States Magistrate Judge